

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

Yh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/020,652	12/13/2001	Taeyoun Kwon	671-10 (P9936)	2016
28249 7	590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.			BHATTACHARYA, SAM	
UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
O#: A-1' O	10/020,652	KWON, TAEYOUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sam Bhattacharya	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ma	av 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 15-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	·	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Neview (P10-940)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costello et

al. (US 6,754,894) in view of Brown, III et al. (US 6,038,636).

Regarding claim 1, Costello et al. discloses a mobile communication device that includes

a flash memory 512 for storing program data and user data, an interface circuit over which data

is copied from the flash memory, a first memory 508 for providing an operation area to store and

execute the copied program data from the flash memory, and a second memory 510 for storing

data generated during the execution of the program data, wherein the first and second memories

are independent memories. See FIG. 5, col. 6, lines 5-22, lines 44-67, and col. 7, lines 24-34.

Costello et al. fails to disclose copying program data stored in the flash memory

according to whether data stored in the flash memory is valid.

In an analogous art, Brown, III et al. disclose a method of reclaiming and defragmenting

a flash memory device in which data is copied from the flash memory to another memory based

on whether it is valid. See col. 3, lines 28-49. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile communication

device of Costello et al. by incorporating these teachings of Brown, III et al. for the purpose of

restoring only valid files to the flash memory in their original format.

Regarding claim 2, Costello et al. discloses a flash memory that is a NAND-type flash

memory. See col. 6, line 32.

Page 3

3. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costello et al. in view of Brown, III et al. and Niiyama et al. (US 5,400,389).

Regarding claim 3, Costello et al. discloses that the first memory 508 and the second memory 510 are NOR flash and RAM memories, respectively. However, the combination of Costello et al. and Brown, III et al. fails to disclose both first and second memories that are RAM memories.

Niiyama et al. discloses a mobile communication device that includes a microprocessor 501, a ROM 502 (which can be a flash ROM), and first and second RAMs 503 and 504. The microprocessor controls the operations of the RAMs according to an operation program stored in the flash ROM. See FIG. 4 and col. 5, line 62 - col. 6, line 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile communication device of Costello et al. and Brown, III et al. by using first and second RAM memories as taught by Niiyama et al. to attain faster speeds of access and execution for data and programs stored in the memories.

Regarding claim 5, Niiyama et al. discloses mobile communication device that includes an interface circuit 506 that generates a chip enable signal enabling the flash ROM 502. See col. 6, lines 11-18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile communication device of Costello et al. and Brown, III et al. by sending a chip enable signal from the interface circuit to the flash memory as taught by Niiyama et al. to activate the flash memory in association with an address supplied from the microprocessor.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,652 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11-13 and 16-20 are allowed.

5. Claims 4 and 6-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the claims are objected to or allowed for the reasons stated in a previous Office action.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/19/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Brown fails to disclose copying data from a flash memory to a RAM.

Examiner respectfully disagrees, and points out that Brown does disclose copying data from a flash memory 20 to a RAM 24 based on the validity of the data. See FIG. 1, col. 6, lines 30-37, col. 9, lines 45-58, and col. 11, lines 43-57.

## Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2617

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (571) 272-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays, 9-6, with first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GEORGE ENG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER